

List of Tables and Charts

The Car Service and Repair Trend Tracker 2011

SECTION 4

- Figure 1** – GDP growth trends, Q1 2007 to Q1 2011
- Figure 2** – Growth in GDP, inflation and investment, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 3** – Retail Prices Index (RPI) trend, Jan '04 – Jan '11 (year on year)
- Figure 4** – Fuel price trends, Jan '03 – Jan '11 (adjusted for inflation except £/\$)
- Figure 5** – Change in average earnings, Dec '01 – Dec '10
- Figure 6** – Unemployment rate, 1987 to 2011
- Figure 7** – Job creation, 1978 - 2008
- Figure 8** – Effect of 2010 emergency budget
- Figure 9** – Correlation between consumer confidence and new car sales, March '05 – March '11
- Figure 10** – Trends in household ownership of cars, 1999 - 2009
- Figure 11** – New car registrations and used car sales, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 12** – Chart of UK new car registrations ('000) and used car sales, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 13** – Number of vehicles in the car parc, Great Britain (licensed and unlicensed vehicles), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 14** – Chart of number of vehicles in the car parc, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 15** – Average annual distance travelled per car in the car parc, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 16** – Chart of average annual distance travelled per car in the car parc, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 17** – Effect of improving quality on the retail market for SMR
- Figure 18** – Trends in workshop labour charge-out rates, 2000 – 2010

SECTION 5

- Figure 19** – Expenditure on servicing, maintenance and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY) current and constant prices, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 20** – Chart of expenditure on servicing, maintenance and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY) current and constant prices, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 21** – Current price value and volume demand for service and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 22** – Chart of current price value and volume demand for service and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 23** – Current price value, volume and average cost for service and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 24** – Chart of current price value, volume and average cost for service and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 25** – Average annual current price spend per car (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 26** – Chart of average annual current price spend per car (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 27** – Frequency of routine servicing and mechanical repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 28** – Chart of frequency of all servicing and repairs (private, business/fleet and DIY), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 29** – Current price value and volume demand for business/fleet routine servicing, 1999 - 2010
- Figure 30** – Current price value and volume demand for business/fleet mechanical repairs, 1999 - 2010

- Figure 31** – Current price value and volume demand for business/fleet servicing and mechanical repairs, 1999 - 2009
- Figure 32** – Chart of current price value and volume demand for business/fleet servicing and mechanical repairs, 1999 - 2010
- Figure 33** – Expenditure on labour and replacement parts (current prices), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 34** – Chart of expenditure on labour and replacement parts (current prices), 2000 - 2010
- Figure 35** – Number of cars tested and failing MOT test and reasons for failures, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 36** – Estimate of total market value including MOT testing, 2000 – 2010

SECTION 6

- Figure 37** – Estimated number of sites providing garage servicing, mechanical repairs and replacement parts fitting services, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 38** – Chart of estimated number of outlets providing garage servicing, mechanical repairs and replacement parts fitting services, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 39** – Largest 20 independent garages, fast-fits and autocentres (2010)
- Figure 40** – Top ten dealer networks (number of franchise outlets), 2005 versus 2009 (year end)
- Figure 41** – Largest 20 franchised dealer groups, November 2010
- Figure 42** – Number of authorised repairers, December 2009 (descending order)
- Figure 43** – Number of franchised workshops as at 31 December, 2000 - 2010
- Figure 44** – Estimated provider shares of total SMR market including MOTs by value, 2000 – 2010

SECTION 7

Total sample -

- Figure 45** – Dealer for make servicing retention, all ages (2010)

Servicing retention of marques with smaller car parcs (Audi, BMW, Hyundai, Kia, Land Rover, Mazda, Mercedes-Benz, Mini, Mitsubishi, SEAT, Skoda, and Suzuki) -

- Figure 46** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', all ages (2010)
- Figure 47** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', <4 years (2010)
- Figure 48** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 4 – 6 years (2010)
- Figure 49** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 7 – 9 years (2010)
- Figure 50** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 10+ years (2010)
- Figure 51** – Servicing retention by provider by age of car (2010, yearly intervals)

Servicing retention of Trend Tracker's traditional selection of 14 marques -

- Figure 52** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', all ages (2010)
- Figure 53** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', <4 years (2010)
- Figure 54** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 4 – 6 years (2010)
- Figure 55** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 7 – 9 years (2010)
- Figure 56** – Servicing retention and 'not yet serviced', 10+ years (2010)

Total sample -

- Figure 57** – Dealer for make, 2010 (average % of individual marque performances)
- Figure 58** – Other garage or service station, 2010 (average % of individual marque performance)
- Figure 59** – Self or unpaid source (DIY), 2010 (average % of individual marque performance)
- Figure 60** – 'not yet serviced', 2010 (average % of individual marque performance)
- Figure 61** – Trend in weighted average servicing retention, total sample
- Figure 62** – Car parc segment trends, 1995 (dotted lines) v 2010
- Figure 63** – Trend in weighted average servicing retention, < 4 Year Sample
- Figure 64** – Trend in weighted average servicing retention, 'not yet serviced' sample
- Figure 65** – Trend in weighted average age in years

- Figure 66** – Trend in average age by service sector, 1996 - 2010
- Figure 67** – Intended provider of routine service by age, 2010
- Figure 68** – Reason for choosing intended provider of routine service, 2010

Servicing retention of Trend Tracker's traditional selection of 14 marques, by marque -

- Figure 69** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Citroën
- Figure 70** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Citroën
- Figure 71** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Citroën
- Figure 72** – DIY trend, Citroën
- Figure 73** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Fiat Group
- Figure 74** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Fiat Group
- Figure 75** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Fiat Group
- Figure 76** – DIY trend, Fiat Group
- Figure 77** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Ford
- Figure 78** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Ford
- Figure 79** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Ford
- Figure 80** – DIY trend, Ford
- Figure 81** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Honda
- Figure 82** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Honda
- Figure 83** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Honda
- Figure 84** – DIY trend, Honda
- Figure 85** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Nissan
- Figure 86** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Nissan
- Figure 87** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Nissan
- Figure 88** – DIY trend, Nissan
- Figure 89** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Peugeot
- Figure 90** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Peugeot
- Figure 91** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Peugeot
- Figure 92** – DIY trend, Peugeot
- Figure 93** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Renault
- Figure 94** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Renault
- Figure 95** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Renault
- Figure 96** – DIY trend, Renault
- Figure 97** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Rover/MG
- Figure 98** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Rover/MG
- Figure 99** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Rover/MG
- Figure 100** – DIY trend, Rover/MG
- Figure 101** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Toyota
- Figure 102** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Toyota
- Figure 103** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Toyota
- Figure 104** – DIY trend, Toyota
- Figure 105** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Vauxhall
- Figure 106** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Vauxhall
- Figure 107** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Vauxhall
- Figure 108** – DIY trend, Vauxhall
- Figure 109** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Volkswagen
- Figure 110** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Volkswagen
- Figure 111** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Volkswagen
- Figure 112** – DIY trend, Volkswagen
- Figure 113** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Volvo
- Figure 114** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Volvo

- Figure 115** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Volvo
- Figure 116** – DIY trend, Volvo
- Figure 117** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Other German
- Figure 118** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Other German
- Figure 119** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Other German
- Figure 120** – DIY trend, Other German
- Figure 121** – 2010 servicing retention by service source and age of vehicle, Other Japanese
- Figure 122** – Franchised dealer servicing retention trend, Other Japanese
- Figure 123** – Other garage servicing retention trend, Other Japanese
- Figure 124** – DIY trend, Other Japanese

'Not yet serviced' trend charts for Trend Tracker's traditional selection of 14 marques, by marque -

- Figure 125** – Citroën
- Figure 126** – Fiat Group
- Figure 127** – Ford
- Figure 128** – Honda
- Figure 129** – Nissan
- Figure 130** – Peugeot
- Figure 131** – Renault
- Figure 132** – Rover/MG
- Figure 133** – Toyota
- Figure 134** – Vauxhall
- Figure 135** – Volkswagen
- Figure 136** – Volvo
- Figure 137** – Other German
- Figure 138** – Other Japanese

SECTION 8

Total sample -

- Figure 139** – Trends in work done in last month
- Figure 140** – Type of work done in last three months by marque, 2010
- Figure 141** – Work carried out in last three months, 2010
- Figure 142** – Where work done in last three months by make of car, 2010 (service, MOT and repairs combined)
- Figure 143** – Comparison of 'dealer for make' servicing retention and SMR retention, 2010
- Figure 144** – Where work carried out in last three months by age of vehicle, 2010
- Figure 145** – Chart of where work carried out in last three months by age of vehicle, 2010
- Figure 146** – Type of work carried out in last three months by age of vehicle, 2010
- Figure 147** – Chart of type of work carried out in last three months by age of vehicle, 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 148** – What work done and where in last three months, 2010
- Figure 149** – Chart of what work done by type of outlet in last three months, 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 150** – Type of work done by franchised dealers ('dealer for make' plus 'dealer for other make'), 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 151** – Type of work done by independent garages, 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 152** – Type of work done by fast-fit centres, 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 153** – Type of work done DIY, 2010 (re-based to 100%)
- Figure 154** – Where work carried out in last three months by provider, 2010 (re-based to 100% for each category)
- Figure 155** – Provider trends for SMR, 2005-2010

Servicing retention of marques with smaller car parcs (Audi, BMW, Hyundai, Kia, Land Rover, Mazda, Mercedes-Benz, Mini, Mitsubishi, SEAT, Skoda, and Suzuki) -

Figure 156 – Having work done in last three months, 2010 – marques with smaller car parcs

Figure 157 – Where work done in last three months by make of car, 2010 (service, MOT and repairs combined) – marques with smaller car parcs

Total sample -

Figure 158 – Retention of SMR by provider by age of car (2010)

SMR retention of Trend Tracker's traditional selection of 14 marques, by marque -

Figure 159 – Comparison of work done on Citroën cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 160 – Trend in types of work done, Citroën

Figure 161 – Comparison of where work done on Citroën cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 162 – Trend in where work done, Citroën

Figure 163 – Comparison of work done on Fiat Group cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 164 – Trend in types of work done, Fiat Group

Figure 165 – Comparison of where work done on Fiat Group cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 166 – Trend in where work done, Fiat Group

Figure 167 – Comparison of work done on Ford cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 168 – Trend in types of work done, Ford

Figure 169 – Comparison of where work done on Ford cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 170 – Trend in where work done, Ford

Figure 171 – Comparison of work done on Honda cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 172 – Trend in types of work done, Honda

Figure 173 – Comparison of where work done on Honda cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 174 – Trend in where work done, Honda

Figure 175 – Comparison of work done on Nissan cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 176 – Trend in types of work done, Nissan

Figure 177 – Comparison of where work done on Nissan cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 178 – Trend in where work done, Nissan

Figure 179 – Comparison of work done on Peugeot cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 180 – Trend in types of work done, Peugeot

Figure 181 – Comparison of where work done on Peugeot cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 182 – Trend in where work done, Peugeot

Figure 183 – Comparison of work done on Renault cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 184 – Trend in types of work done, Renault

Figure 185 – Comparison of where work done on Renault cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 186 – Trend in where work done, Renault

Figure 187 – Comparison of work done on Rover/MG cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 188 – Trend in types of work done, Rover/MG

Figure 189 – Comparison of where work done on Rover cars/MG and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 190 – Trend in where work done, Rover/MG

Figure 191 – Comparison of work done on Toyota cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 192 – Trend in types of work done, Toyota

Figure 193 – Comparison of where work done on Toyota cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 194 – Trend in where work done, Toyota

Figure 195 – Comparison of work done on Vauxhall cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 196 – Trend in types of work done, Vauxhall

Figure 197 – Comparison of where work done on Vauxhall cars and all-makes sample (2010)

Figure 198 – Trend in where work done, Vauxhall

Figure 199 – Comparison of work done on Volkswagen cars and all-makes sample (2010)

- Figure 200** – Trend in types of work done, Volkswagen
- Figure 201** – Comparison of where work done on Volkswagen cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 202** – Trend in where work done, Volkswagen
- Figure 203** – Comparison of work done on Volvo cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 204** – Trend in types of work done, Volvo
- Figure 205** – Comparison of where work done on Volvo cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 206** – Trend in where work done, Volvo
- Figure 207** – Comparison of work done on Other German cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 208** – Trend in types of work done, Other German
- Figure 209** – Comparison of where work done on Other German cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 210** – Trend in where work done, Other German
- Figure 211** – Comparison of work done on Other Japanese cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 212** – Trend in types of work done, Other Japanese
- Figure 213** – Comparison of where work done on Other Japanese cars and all-makes sample (2010)
- Figure 214** – Trend in where work done, Other Japanese

SECTION 9

- Figure 215** – New car registrations from 1964 and forecast to 2016
- Figure 216** – Changes in four-year car parc from 1992 and forecast to 2016
- Figure 217** – Forecast of car service and repair demand (retail + fleet) by volume and value at constant January 2011 prices, 2011 - 2016
- Figure 218** – Forecast number of sites providing servicing, maintenance and repair, 2011 - 2016
- Figure 219** – Estimated provider shares of total SMR market (including MOTs by value), 2000 - 2016